



13-14 November 2025



The impact of technology introduction on labor costs in Romanian agriculture

ALEXANDRA TRANDAFIR¹, VALENTINA STĂNESCU¹, ROBERT HAJDU¹, OCNEAN MONICA*¹ TONEA ELENA¹

¹UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES "KING MIHAI I" FROM TIMIȘOARA /

Faculty of Management and Rural Tourism, Romania *Corresponding author's e-mail:
monicaocnean@usvt.ro

Abstract: This study examines the impact of technological adoption on labour costs in Romanian agriculture, highlighting the economic and structural transformations generated by mechanisation, automation, and digitalisation. Drawing on recent sectoral data, the analysis demonstrates that introducing advanced machinery and precision agriculture systems has significantly reduced the demand for manual labour, enhancing productivity and operational efficiency.

- **Introduction** Romania's farm sector combines large commercial holdings with millions of small and fragmented farms. EU accession accelerated modernization, yet labor markets still face aging demographics, out-migration and pronounced seasonality. In this setting, technology is adopted to raise productivity, stabilize output under weather volatility, and cope with tight labor supply. The key question for farms and policymakers is not only whether technology boosts yields, but how it changes labor costs—both directly (hours and wages) and indirectly (training, supervision, safety, downtime). Technology influences labor costs through five main channels:
 1. Substitution: Machines replace manual tasks (e.g., mechanical harvesting, auto-steer tillage), lowering hours worked per hectare.
 2. Complementarity: Skilled labor becomes more valuable (operator/programmer/technician roles). Hourly wages can rise, but total hours fall more.
 3. Learning curve: Short-run training and adjustment may temporarily raise labor costs; long-run routines typically reduce them.
 4. Scale economies: Larger farms spread fixed costs of equipment and software over more hectares, achieving lower labor cost per unit.
 5. Risk and reliability: Automation reduces rework and delays (e.g., fewer missed harvest windows), stabilizing labor demand and overtime.

The metric that best captures these effects is unit labor cost (labor cost per ton or per liter), not just wage per hour.

- **Material and method**

To understand the types of agriculture findings in Romania, a mixed methods approach was employed, which includes:

- analysis of academic articles, governmental reports, and bank articles to understand historical context and current trends

- **Results and discussions**

Differences across farm sizes and regions

- Large commercial farms can finance equipment, bargain for service contracts, and standardize workflows—achieving the largest reductions in labor hours per hectare.
- Smallholders face fragmented plots and higher per-hectare machine costs; benefits are real but smaller unless they join cooperatives, machinery rings, or rely on contractors.
- Regional variations matter: plains with cereals are more mechanization-friendly than mountainous mixed farms. Broadband and road quality also influence digital and service adoption.

Romania's rural labor pool has shrunk due to migration and aging, lifting seasonal wages and making recruitment unpredictable. Technology helps farms adapt by (i) reducing peak-season labor needs, (ii) converting seasonal work into year-round skilled positions, and (iii) improving job quality (cab comfort, predictable shifts), which aids retention. The transition can displace low-skill, short-term jobs unless complemented by training.

Risks and constraints

- Access to capital & creditworthiness: Upfront costs and exchange-rate exposure can delay adoption.
- Skills gap: Without training, sophisticated tools are under-used and savings disappoint.
- Service deserts: Weak local dealer networks increase downtime and labor inefficiency.
- Data fragmentation: Equipment from different brands that does not "talk" smoothly can create hidden admin labor.

- **Conclusions**

In Romanian agriculture, the introduction of modern technology generally **reduces labor cost per unit of output** by lowering hours per hectare, smoothing seasonal peaks and cutting rework—despite higher wages for skilled operators. The magnitude of savings varies by sector, region and farm size, and depends critically on training and reliable service networks. To make these gains inclusive and durable, policy should focus on human capital, interoperability, and cooperative models that let even small farms capture the benefits of modernization.