UNIVERSITY OF LIFE SCIENCES ”KING MIHAI I” FROM TIMISOARA
*”YOUNG PEOPLE AND MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN APPLIED LIFE SCIENCES”*

*05-06 November 2026*



# THE ROLE OF PEST DETECTION, EFFICIENT IRRIGATION, AND WATER MANAGEMENT IN ADVANCING SUSTAINABLE SMART AGRICULTURE

**Laura VORNICU • Razvan GUI-BACHNER • Francois GUYVENCHY • Laura ȘMULEAC • Raul PAȘCALĂU**

University of Life Sciences “King Mihai I” from Timișoara | Corresponding author: raul.pascalau@usvt.ro

## ABSTRACT & KEYWORDS

Smart agriculture can address food-security, climate and resource pressures by coordinating pest detection, efficient irrigation and water management. This qualitative analytical study examines how AI, machine learning, computer vision and IoT monitoring can support early pest identification, while soil-moisture sensors, meteorological data and automated controllers can improve irrigation scheduling. It also considers the environmental variables linking crop water requirements and pest development, and the role of integrated digital platforms in combining soil, weather, crop-health and pest observations. Potential benefits include better-informed interventions, improved water-use efficiency and reduced unnecessary pesticide use. These outcomes are conditional on reliable data, field validation, biological and agronomic interpretation, system interoperability and practical access to technology; the paper does not report original field trials or measured performance.

KEYWORDS | Smart Agriculture • pest detection • smart irrigation • water management • environmental sustainability

## 1 INTRODUCTION

- **Smart agriculture.** Digital technologies, environmental monitoring and data-driven decisions can improve agricultural efficiency, resilience and sustainability.
- **Two connected pressures.** Water availability affects plant development, while pests can damage tissues, reduce quality and contribute to production losses.
- **Shared environmental drivers.** Temperature, humidity, precipitation, soil moisture and crop condition influence both crop water requirements and the development of certain pests.
- **Why integration matters.** Separate irrigation and pest-management systems may fragment information; coordinated monitoring can provide a more complete view of field conditions.

## 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

The paper adopts a qualitative, descriptive and analytical approach to examine technological capabilities, agricultural applications, environmental interactions and implementation requirements for integrated smart farming. The synthesis draws on scientific literature in precision agriculture, agricultural entomology, irrigation engineering, environmental monitoring and Artificial Intelligence. It does not include original field experiments, irrigation measurements, pest sampling, AI model training or quantitative performance evaluations.

### SIX ANALYTICAL DIMENSIONS

**01 Pest detection**
ML, computer vision, intelligent traps and IoT monitoring.

**02 Smart irrigation**
Soil-moisture sensing, controllers and weather-aware scheduling.

**03 Environmental data**
Temperature, humidity, precipitation and crop conditions.

**04 Decision platforms**
Combining pest, soil, weather and crop-health datasets.

**05 Sustainability**
Water efficiency, reduced unnecessary pesticide use and soil protection.

**06 Adoption barriers**
Costs, data reliability, infrastructure, interoperability and skills.

EVALUATION CRITERIA: Reliability | Applicability | Resource efficiency | Environmental sustainability | Feasibility

## 3 ENVIRONMENTAL INTERACTIONS

Temperature, relative humidity, precipitation, soil moisture and crop development can provide relevant context for both irrigation decisions and pest-risk assessment. Temperature may affect insect development and crop water demand; humidity and rainfall can influence pest survival and plant-health conditions; soil moisture and irrigation can modify crop physiology and the field microclimate. These relationships are not uniform: responses differ by pest species, crop, soil, management practice and location. A single environmental variable should not be treated as a universal predictor of infestation or irrigation need.

**TEMPERATURE**
insect development • evapotranspiration

**HUMIDITY**
microclimate • pest biology

**PRECIPITATION**
soil water • seasonal conditions

**SOIL MOISTURE**
root-zone status • crop stress

**CROP STATUS**
phenology • health indicators

## IMPORTANT DECISION RULES & CONCLUSIONS

- **Pest detection is not a treatment threshold.** A detected insect does not by itself mean crop damage is economically significant or that pesticide application is justified.
- **Crop stress is not always pest damage.** Water deficiency, nutrient problems, disease and pests can produce similar symptoms; field verification and agronomic interpretation remain necessary.
- **Irrigation advice requires context.** Sensor placement and calibration, soil properties, crop type and development stage, weather demand and system performance all matter.
- **Efficiency is not identical to total water saving.** Better application efficiency does not automatically reduce total withdrawals if irrigated area or production expands.
- **Integration requires interoperability.** Different devices and platforms must exchange compatible, synchronized and reliable information.

### CONCLUSION IN BRIEF

Pest monitoring, irrigation management and water stewardship are complementary elements of smart agriculture. Their integration can support coordinated decisions and resource efficiency when recommendations are validated and used with established agronomic and Integrated Pest Management principles.

## 4 KEY TECHNOLOGIES AND APPLICATIONS



Pest recognition



UAV / crop monitoring



Water infrastructure

| | |
|---|---|
| **AI and computer vision** | Image classification and object detection can recognize selected pest species or damage patterns; model reliability depends on labelled, representative images and local validation. |
| **Intelligent traps and IoT** | Camera-equipped traps and connected sensors can increase monitoring frequency and transmit pest observations alongside temperature, humidity and other field measurements. |
| **Smart irrigation** | Soil-moisture sensors, meteorological data and automated controllers can support irrigation timing and water delivery according to crop, soil and weather conditions. |
| **Water management platforms** | Monitoring, allocation, storage, quality assessment, reuse and conservation measures support more responsible use of water resources. |
| **Predictive analytics** | Models can combine environmental variables, crop information and historical pest observations to estimate risk; predictions should express uncertainty and trigger checks, not automatic interventions. |

## 5 INTEGRATED DATA-TO-DECISION WORKFLOW

**DATA** — Weather • soil Crop • pest
→ **INTEGRATE** — Synchronize check quality
→ **ANALYSE** — AI / ML Risk + demand
→ **VALIDATE** — Field check Expert review
→ **RESPOND** — IPM action Irrigation plan

*Feedback loop: field observations and system performance update future monitoring and management decisions.*

## 6 COMPARATIVE OVERVIEW OF TECHNOLOGIES

| Technology / approach | Main role | Potential contribution | Limitation / condition |
|---|---|---|---|
| **AI pest recognition** | Classify selected pests from images | Earlier information; supports monitoring | Needs labelled data and field validation |
| **Intelligent traps** | Capture and analyse insect images | More frequent observations | Trap bias, maintenance, species calibration |
| **Soil-moisture sensors** | Measure root-zone water status | More responsive irrigation scheduling | Placement, calibration and soil context |
| **Automated irrigation** | Control timing and water delivery | More consistent application | System design, maintenance, crop fit |
| **Predictive analytics** | Estimate pest or water-stress risk | Early-warning and planning support | Uncertainty; local model validation |
| **Integrated platforms** | Combine pest, soil, weather and crop data | Coordinated decisions; less fragmented data | Interoperability, synchronization, reliability |

## 7 BENEFITS, CHALLENGES AND FUTURE PRIORITIES

### POTENTIAL BENEFITS

- Earlier and more frequent pest observations.
- More informed, targeted interventions within IPM.
- Improved irrigation scheduling and water application.
- Better coordination of soil, weather, crop and pest information.
- Potential reduction in avoidable input use and environmental pressure.

### CHALLENGES

- Investment and equipment-maintenance costs.
- Connectivity, power and digital infrastructure gaps.
- Data quality, reliability and interoperability.
- Need for technical expertise and farmer training.
- Adaptation to different crops, pests, soils and climates.

### FUTURE RESEARCH PRIORITIES

Field-evaluate integrated platforms using pest-detection precision and recall, irrigation water applied, crop water productivity, pesticide application frequency, crop yield and operational costs. Improve interoperability across IoT, UAV, meteorological and crop-monitoring data; test affordable systems across farm sizes and agro-climatic conditions; assess environmental and economic outcomes over time.

STUDY SCOPE • Qualitative conceptual assessment; no original field trials, pest sampling, irrigation measurements, AI model training or quantitative performance tests are reported.